

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspte.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
(19/925,548	08/08/2001	Shoukat Dedhar	KINE001CIP4	5127	
24353 75	590 05.29.2003				
BOZICEVIC, FIELD & FRANCIS LLP 200 MIDDLEFIELD RD SUITE 200			EXAMINER		
			CHEN, SHIN LIN		
MENLO PARK	K, CA 94025		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1632		
			DATE MAILED: 05/29/2003	DATE MAILED: 05/29/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/925,548** 

Applicant(s)

Dedhar et al.

Examiner

Shin-Lin Chen

Art Unit **1632** 



The MAILING DATE of this comm	nunication appears on the cover s	sheet with the correspon	ndence address		
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions	NICATION.				
mailing date of this communication.					
<ul> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum single Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply.</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	tatutory period will apply and will expire SIX is will, by statute, cause the application to be	(6) MONTHS from the mailing dat come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. §	te of this communication.		
Status					
1) 🗓 Responsive to communication(s)	filed on <u>3-4-03 and 5-9-03</u>				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b) X This action is non-fin	al.			
3) [3] Since this application is in conditional closed in accordance with the practice.	on for allowance except for for actice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1	mal matters, prosecuti 935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G	on as to the merits is j. 213.		
Disposition of Claims					
4) X Claim(s) <u>1-7</u>		is/are pe	nding in the application.		
4a) Of the above, claim(s) <u>5-7</u>		is/are w	ithdrawn from consideration.		
5)		is/a	re allowed.		
6) X Claim(s) <u>1-4</u>		is/a	re rejected.		
7) Claim(s)					
8) Claims					
Application Papers					
9) $\square$ The specification is objected to by	the Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on	is/are a) 🗌 accep	ted or b) $\square$ objected to	by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any	objection to the drawing(s) be h	neld in abeyance. See 37	CFR 1.85(a).		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examin					
If approved, corrected drawings are	e required in reply to this Office a	action.			
12) X The oath or declaration is objected	d to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 $\hfill\Box$					
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ None					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	y documents have been receiv	ed in Application No			
<ul><li>3. Copies of the certified copies application from the l</li><li>*See the attached detailed Office action</li></ul>	s of the priority documents have International Bureau (PCT Rule ion for a list of the certified cor	17.2(a)).	: National Stage		
14) X Acknowledgement is made of a cl					
a) The translation of the foreign lar					
15) X Acknowledgement is made of a c			d/or 121.		
Attachment(s)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		5,0. 1211		
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).	·		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC	0-948) 5) Notice of Ir	Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	er No(s). 6) Other:		i		

Art Unit: 1632

#### DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's election with traverse of group I, claims 1-4, and SEQ ID No. 16 in Paper No. 7 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that it is improper to divide each of the SEQ ID Nos because those sequences are short fragments from SEQ ID No. 1. Applicants further argue that groups I and II should be rejoined because the term "distinct" means that two or more subjects are related and are patentable over each other. This is not found persuasive because each oligonucleotide sequence is structurally and functionally independent and distinct. Each oligonucleotide sequence has a unique nucleotide sequence that targets a different and specific region of a gene, and each oligonucleotide, upon binding to a gene sequence, can function as a primer or a probe for different purposes, or can functionally modulates (increases or decreases) the expression of the gene and to varying degrees. Furthermore, a search of more than one oligonucleotide sequence presents an undue burden on the Patent and Trademark Office due to the complex nature of the search and corresponding examination of more than one. The oligonucleotide can be used to as probe for screening or detection of a target nucleotide sequence or can be used as a primer for PCR amplification. Such uses are different from using the oligonucleotide as an antisense sequence for inhibiting gene expression in vitro or in vivo. Thus groups I-II require separate search and are patentably distinct from each other.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Art Unit: 1632

2. Claims 5-7 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 7.

Applicants' new sequence listing submitted 5-9-03 has been entered. Since the SEQ ID Nos 13-109 have been amended to read as SEQ ID Nos. 3-99, the elected SEQ ID No. 16 corresponds to SEQ ID No. 6 of the new sequence listing. Claims 1-7 are pending and claims 1-4 and SEQ ID No. 6 are under consideration.

#### Oath/Declaration

3. The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MEP. §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because:

No reference to the provisional application No. 60/009,074, filed 12-21-95, whose priority has been claimed in the first sentence of the specification.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 1632

5. Claim 1 recites the limitation "the sequence" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. It is unclear whether "the sequence" refers to the oligonucleotide or other sequence. Claims 2-4 depend on claim 1 but fail to clarify the indefiniteness.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Hillier et al., 1995 (Genbank Accession No. R14703, p. 4-5).

Claims 1 and 2 are directed to an oligonucleotide comprising at least 18 nucleotides that is complementary to an mRNA encoding human integrin-linked kinase or SEQ ID No. 1.

Hillier teaches a human cDNA sequence, Genbank Accession No. R14703, which is 99.7% identical to bases 289-630 of SEQ ID No. 1 that encodes the human integrin-linked kinase. The complementary strand of Genbank Accession No. R14703 would have an oligonucleotide that comprises at least 18 nucleotides and is complementary to SEQ ID No. 1. Thus, claims 1 and 2 are anticipated by Hillier.

Art Unit: 1632

8. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ozaki et al., 1993 (Genbank Accession No. D13973, p. 12-13).

Claims 1 and 2 are directed to an oligonucleotide comprising at least 18 nucleotides that is complementary to an mRNA encoding human integrin-linked kinase or SEQ ID No. 1.

Ozaki teaches a DNA sequence, Genbank Accession No. D13973, which is 100% identical to bases 1763-1789 of SEQ ID No. 1 that encodes the human integrin-linked kinase. The complementary strand of Genbank Accession No. D13973 would have an oligonucleotide that comprises at least 18 nucleotides and is complementary to SEQ ID No. 1. Thus, claims 1 and 2 are anticipated by Ozaki.

### Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shin-Lin Chen whose telephone number is (703) 305-1678. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Reynolds can be reached on (703) 305-4051. The fax phone number for this group is (703) 308-4242.

Application/Control Number: 09/925,548

Page 6

Art Unit: 1632

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist, whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Sellen

Shin-Lin Chen, Ph.D.